

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/316,040	05/21/1999	PHILIP W GILLIS	2925-0224/GI	7281	
30594	7590 03/18/2002			•	
	HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 89 RESTON, VA			DAS, CHAMELI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-			2122	6	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	
•	

Applicant(s)

Gillis

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/316,040

Examiner

Chameli Das

Art Unit 2122

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Exter		FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory emmunication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Any i		y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1)[X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 19, 2	2002
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac	tion is non-final.
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-65</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-65</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachm	ent(s)	
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 🔲 Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20} Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/316,0.40

Art Unit: 2122

١

- 1. This action is in response to the reconsideration filed on 2/19/02.
- 2. Claims 1- 2, 4-15, 17, 19-22, 26-34, 36- 37, 39- 48, 50-54, 57, 59, 61, 63-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Batch et al, US Patent No. 5,423,023.
- 3. Claims 3, 18, 23-25, 35, 38, 49, 58 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Batch et al, US Patent No. 5,423,023.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 2/19/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remark, the applicant has argued that:

- (A) Batch et al fails to teach input of process steps and a plurality of potential selections associated with the process steps claimed in the present application.
- (B) Batch et al fail to teach the process steps for use in the creation of a new software tool.
- A) As noted in the last office action, Batch et al teach prompting input of process steps is shown in column 4 line 50-67 and column 8 line 40-46. The system has the capability to prompt the user for inputs inherently including prompting input of process steps. The plurality of potential selections associated with the process steps is shown in column 4 line 60-65, "The default input display may also prompt the user as to items which user must add as inputs at a given stage" inherently including plurality of potential selections associated with the process

Art Unit: 2122

steps as claimed.

(B) Batch et al teach creation of a software tool is shown in ABSTRACT line 1-2, "integrates and manages a plurality of different task and software tools" inherently including creating a software tool, creation of a new software tool does not recite in any claim.

Conclusion

5. Thus, the rejection of the claims over the prior art in the previous office action is maintained (see paper # 7) and **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chameli Das whose telephone number is 703-306-3014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 A.M to 4:00 P.M. If attempts to

Application/Control Number: 09/316, O40

Page 4

Art Unit: 2122

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Greg Morse can be reached at 703-308-4789. The fax number for this group is 703-746-7239. An inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

CDAS

3/5/02

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100